

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/536,968	05/31/2005	Frank Dietsche	272479US0PCT	6253
22850	7590 05/22/2006		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			NAGUBANDI, LALITHA	
	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	
			DATE MAILED: 05/22/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/536,968	DIETSCHE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lalitha Nagubandi	1621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>-</u> ·				
,	* * *				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1,3-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 1,3-6,10 and 11 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7-9,12 and 13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)		(PTO 440)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/31/06.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Detailed Office Action

Status of Claims

Claims 1, and 3-15 are pending. Claim 2 has been canceled. Claims 1 and 3-15 are considered for examination in this office action.

Specification

The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Where applicant acts as his or her own lexicographer to specifically define a term of a claim contrary to its ordinary meaning, the written description must clearly redefine the claim term and set forth the uncommon definition so as to put one reasonably skilled in the art on notice that the applicant intended to so redefine that claim term. *Process Control Corp. v. HydReclaim Corp.*, 190 F.3d 1350, 1357, 52 USPQ2d 1029, 1033 (Fed. Cir. 1999).

The term "working up" in claims 8 and 9 is used .The term is indefinite because the specification does not clearly redefine the term.

Application/Control Number: 10/536,968 Page 3

Art Unit: 1621

Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 12 and 13, applicant has recited, "the process of claim 7" which is improper, claim 7 is a product claim and not a process claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 7,12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Derango et al (Biotechnology Letters Vol 16 No.3 March 1994, pp.241-246).

Applicants claim a product-by-process for preparing (meth) acrylic esters comprising atleast one urethane group.

Derango et al disclose (See Scheme I, page 242, Biotechnology Letters Vol 16 No.3 March 1994, pp.241-246) a process for preparing Carbamoyloxyethyl methacrylate (in quantitative yields) comprising of reacting 2-hydroxyethyl carbamate and Vinyl methacrylate, thus anticipating claims 7,12 and 13 of the instant application.

Application/Control Number: 10/536,968

Art Unit: 1621

"Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process". In re

Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695,698,227 USPQ 964,966 (Fed. Cir. 1985) See MPEP 2113.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-6, and 8-11 will be allowable.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

In the closest prior art or record, Regina Derango et al teach (see page 242, Scheme I; Biotechnology Letters Vol. 16 No.3 March 1994, pp.241-246) the process for preparing Carbamoyloxyethyl methacrylate starting from 2-hydroxyethyl carbamate and Vinyl methacrylate. However, the reference fails to teach or fairly suggest the process of the instant invention particularly, there is no mention of the <u>saturated alcohol ester of</u> (meth) acrylic acid, which is the key step in the instant process.

Conclusion

Claims 7,12 and 13 are rejected.

Application/Control Number: 10/536,968 Page 5

Art Unit: 1621

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lalitha Nagubandi whose telephone number is 571 272 7996. The examiner can normally be reached on 6.30am to 3.30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on 571 272 0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lalitha Nagubandi

Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600

May 11th, 2006.

Samuel A Barts Ph.D.

Primary Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1600